	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Notice of Allowability	10/711,953	CLINE ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eric B. Chen	1765
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	(OR REMAINS) CLOSED in this or other appropriate communicat GHTS. This application is subjection.	application. If not included ion will be mailed in due course. THIS
1. This communication is responsive to 21 June 2006.		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>3-11 and 14-16</u> .		
 3.	been received. been received in Application No. cuments have been received in the of this communication to file a rep ENT of this application. itted. Note the attached EXAMINE as reason(s) why the oath or decla at be submitted. on's Patent Drawing Review (PT) as Amendment / Comment or in the as Amendment / Comment or in the as to BIOLOGICAL MATERIA	is national stage application from the sis national stage application from the sis national stage application from the sis national stage application from the requirements ER'S AMENDMENT or NOTICE OF aration is deficient. O-948) attached e Office action of wings in the front (not the back) of 21(d). L must be submitted. Note the
Attachment(s) 1. Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. Information Disclosure Statements (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No./Mail Date 4. Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material NADINE NORTON SUPERUI SORY PATENT EXAMINER IN	6. ⊠ Interview Summa Paper No./Mail [8), 7. ⊠ Examiner's Amer 8. ⊠ Examiner's State	Date 20060622 Indiment/Comment ment of Reasons for Allowance EBC
		June 23, 2006

Application/Control Number: 10/711,953 Page 2

Art Unit: 1765

EXAMINER'S AMENDMENT

1. An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

- 2. Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a telephone interview with Jack P. Friedman on June 22, 2006.
- 3. The application has been amended as follows: in the claims, cancel non-elected claims 18-20.

REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

- 4. Claims 3-11 and 14-16 are allowed.
- 5. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance for claim 3: the prior art fails to teach or suggest that the second set of etching parameters are adjusted from the first set of etching parameters such that, for each trench of the second plurality of deep trenches, the step of etching the bottom portion of the hard mask opening has a *lower degree of anisotropy* than that associated with the a trench of the first plurality of deep trenches (emphasis added). The closest prior art, Liu, teaches that the lateral etching of the overlying hard mask layers can cause the taper of the trench to deviate from the specified angle range (column 8, lines 47-54), and thus teaches away from isotropic etching (or etching with a lower degree of anisotropy) of the mask layer.

Art Unit: 1765

6. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance for claim 6: the prior art fails to teach or suggest that the bottom portion of the hard mask opening has a *greater lateral width* than a top portion of the hard mask opening (emphasis added). The closest prior art, Liu, suggests that the hard mask opening is either uniform (Figures 5-6) or that the top portion of the hard mask opening has a greater lateral width than a bottom portion of the hard mask opening (Figures 3-4).

- 7. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter for claim 7: the prior art fails to teach or suggest wherein, in the formation of the first plurality of deep trenches, a first side wall of the bottom portion of the hard mask opening associated with the nitride layer has a first height, wherein, in the formation of the second plurality of deep trenches, a second side wall of the bottom portion of the hard mask opening associated with the nitride layer has a second height, and wherein the first height is greater than the second height (emphasis added). The closest prior art, Liu, teaches a silicon nitride layer of a single thickness of 2,200 Å (column 11, line 27). However, there is no motivation or suggestion of varying the thickness of the silicon nitride layer.
- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter for claim 9: the prior art fails to teach or suggest wherein the second set of etching parameters are further adjusted front the first set of etching parameters such that, for each trench of the second plurality of deep trenches, the bottom portion of the hard mask opening has a rounder bottom corner than that corresponding to a trench of the first plurality of deep trenches (emphasis added). The closest prior art, Liu,

Art Unit: 1765

suggests that the hard mask opening is either uniform (Figures 5-6) or that the top portion of the hard mask opening has a greater lateral width than a bottom portion of the hard mask opening (Figures 3-4).

Page 4

- 9. The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance for claim 14: the prior art fails to teach or suggest that the bottom portion of the hard mask opening has a *greater lateral width* than a top portion of the hard mask opening (emphasis added). The closest prior art, Liu, suggests that the hard mask opening is either uniform (Figures 5-6) or that the top portion of the hard mask opening has a greater lateral width than a bottom portion of the hard mask opening (Figures 3-4). However, there is no motivation or suggestion that the bottom portion of the hard mask opening has a greater lateral width than a top portion of the hard mask opening, as in the context of claim 14.
- 10. Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance."

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Eric B. Chen whose telephone number is (571) 272-2947. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday, 8AM to 4:30PM.

Art Unit: 1765

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nadine G. Norton can be reached on (571) 272-1465. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

June 23, 2006